

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Yasutaka Ito 238750US-90CONT 5651 07/15/2003 10/618,623 **EXAMINER** 12/08/2004 22850 7590 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. PAIK, SANG YEOP 1940 DUKE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 3742

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | . 1 |
|--|---|---|--------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/618,623 | ITO ET AL. | V |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sang Y Paik | 3742 | 1972 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply | on appears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence add | iress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this col ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | mmunication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n <u>02 September 2004</u> . | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a | allowance except for formal ma | tters, prosecution as to the | merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice u | nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 17-26 is/are pending in the app | lication. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w | rithdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-26</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | - |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[| | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form PT | O-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. | uments have been received. uments have been received in | Application No | Stage |
| application from the International | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action fo | | ot received. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-90) | , | / Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date リカル・カーフィックトラー | Notice of | Informal Patent Application (PTC | -152) |

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 23, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the connecting portion", and it is unclear whose strand wire is referred to by "its" pronoun.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17, 22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushikoshi et al (US 5,306,895) in view of Nobori et al (US 5,616,024), Bogdanski et al (US 6,150,636) or Abrami et al (US 5,001,423)

Ushikoshi et al show a ceramic heater with a sintered disk-shaped nitride ceramic plate with a heating element made of tungsten formed inside the ceramic plate, and the ceramic plate having a bottomed hole to receive a temperature-measuring element such as a sheathed thermocouple. Ushikoshi et al further show that the bottom of the bottomed hole is formed relatively nearer to the heating surface than the heating element. However, Ushikoshi et al do not

Art Unit: 3742

show the claimed plurality of symmetrically provided holes around the center of the ceramic plate and the heating element in at least two circuits.

Nobori et al show a ceramic heater with a sintered disk-shaped nitride ceramic plate with a heating element formed inside the ceramic plate with a plurality of holes that can be provided to accommodate temperature measuring elements (see Figure 22b, and column 24, line 64 to column 25, line 3. Nobori et al further show that the heating element is divided into at least two circuits. In view of Nobori et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ushikoshi et al with a plurality of bottomed holes to provide a plurality of temperature sensors to more accurately measure the heating temperature of the heating surface.

Bogdanski et al shows a ceramic heater with a plurality of heating elements with a plurality of temperature sensors provided in a symmetrical arrangement around the center of the ceramic plate and with another sensor at the center. Abrami et al also show a hot plate with a plurality of temperature sensors provided in a symmetrical arrangement around the center of the hot plate and with another sensor at the center. In view of Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al, it would have been obvious to further adapt Ushikoshi et al, as modified by Nobori, with the temperature sensors in a symmetrical arrangement so that the sensors are uniformly and evenly distributed along the heating surface to more accurately measure the heating temperature.

With respect to claim 24, it is noted to the applicant that this is a product by process claim wherein the patentability is determined by the product itself and not the process (see MPEP 2113).

Art Unit: 3742

5. Claims 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushikoshi et al in view of Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al as applied to claims 17, 22 and 24-26 above, and further in view of Kersten et al (US 5,919,385) or Hecht et al (US 5,877,475).

Ushikoshi et al in view of Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al show the structure claimed except the temperature sensor pressed on the bottom portion of the hole.

Kersten et al shows a temperature sensor being pressed by a spring elastic means to press the heating temperature sensor against the heating surface. Hecht et al also shows a temperature sensor being pressed against the heating surface by an elastic body such as a spring member. Hecht et al further shows the temperature sensor is a thermocouple in a sheath. In view of Kersten et al or Hecht et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ushikoshi et al, as modified by Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al, with the means to press the heating temperature sensor against the heating surface so that the temperature sensor can make a close contact with the heating surface to more accurately measure the operating temperature.

6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushikoshi et al in view of Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al as applied to claims 17, 22 and 24-26 above, and further in view of Yoshida et al (US 6,080,970).

Ushikoshi et al in view of Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al show the structure claimed except the heating element having a flat shape.

Yoshida et al shows a heating element having a flat shape. In view of Yoshida et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ushikoshi et al, as modified

Art Unit: 3742

by Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al, with a heating element having a flat shape to further improve the heating distribution by the heating element.

7. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushikoshi et al in view of Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al as applied to claims 17, 22 and 24-26 above, and further in view of Masanao (JP 09-045752).

Ushikoshi et al in view of Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al show the structure claimed except the claimed distance of the bottom of the bottomed hole to the heating surface.

Masanao shows a hot plate with a hole provided on the bottom of the hot plate wherein the bottom of the bottomed hole is arranged near the heating surface that is more than beyond ½ of the thickness of the hot plate. In view of Masanao, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to Ushikoshi et al, as modified by Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al, with the claimed distance so that the temperature sensor that is positioned close to the heating surface can more accurately measurement the heating temperature.

8. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushikoshi et al in view of Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al as applied to claims 17, 22 and 24-26 above, and further in view of Huebscher (US 4,416,553).

Ushikoshi et al in view of Nobori et al, and Bogdanski et al or Abrami et al show the structure claimed except the claimed connection portion that is equal or larger than a strand wire.

Huebscher shows a thermocouple with a strand wire connected thereto. Huebscher further teaches that the connecting wires are preferably to be small or smallest possible. Huebescher teaches that its thermocouple would provide a quick response without much heat loss. In view of

Art Unit: 3742

Huebscher, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the small or smallest possible strand wires including the diameter size .5 mm or less in connection with the thermocouple to increase the sensing response time while minimizing the heating loss.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5.2

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

syp